SUMMER 2010 EXEMPLAR SCRIPT

ENGB3

Mark - 64

This script has been chosen for the purposes of exemplifying the qualities of a 2/3 band response for this unit.

Please note that although the qualities which constitute a band 2/3 answer do not change series to series, the grade boundaries for a unit may change series to series.



Examiner's Initials For Examiner's Use

Paper Reference:	Examination Date:	For office use only
ENG B3	June 2010	1 29 4 35
Centre Number:	Candidate Number:	
Surname:		
-		
Other Names:		
Your Signature:		
I declare this is my own work.		
Write in the white box how many answer books y Please write the Paper Reference and your Centre Number and and tag them together if possible		Total (64)
The second secon		

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

- Use black ink or black ball point pen. Do not use pencil or gel pen. Do not use correction fluid.
- Write the information required in the spaces above. Complete in BLOCK CAPITALS.
- Use both sides of the paper. Write only within the white areas of the book.
- Write the question number in the two boxes provided in the left hand margin at the start of each answer e.g. 0 1
- If you make an error when writing the question number, fill in both boxes completely and write the question number in the space immediately below the boxes you have filled in.
- Leave at least two line spaces between each answer.
- Do all rough work in this answer book. Cross through any work that you do not want to be marked. Do not tear out any part of this book. All work must be handed in.
- If you run out of space in the answer book, ask the Invigilator for a second answer book. Complete all of the information required
 in the spaces on the front of the second answer book.



AB 12



Transcripts A, B and C are taken from conversations between two young children and their carelahor. Sue, the caretator, has a very important role here with young children she will not only have to attempt to control see their behaviour but also prompt them both into speaking by of c.m. asking a variety of questions If she paid more attention

to one child, she may run the risk of creating competition

between the children which may result in bad behaviour.

Ruring the transcripts, Sue has brought the children home

when from nursery, has initiated play for them and is making

them their lunch. It would be expected that her questioning

would revolve around these subjects. As for the children, there is a young boy, Jash, who is 4 years and 2 months old and a young girl, Franki, who is 3 years and 4 months old Both children are within the telegraphic More stage of speech, meaning that they can both create one sentencer and have a relatively large vocability but may still not create the exact adult form of what they want to say. I would expect tranks to miss the on getting of what they want is almost a year younger than him and so her down? speech will not be as advanced. With there being only have children there may be a mall council. two children, there may be a small amount of competern of attention from Sue but, with it being a mixed gender conversation and Franki being younge, Josh may feel as though he should help Franki along and take care of a way. It would be expected that the subject of the children's convexations would revolve the achilies that they are partaling in Sue, as expected, does forward a lot of questions to the two children. Transcript A begins with her saying are you going to do now." This is an open question is very common for from caretaters when they are speaking to children, especially those in the telegraphic state. Here sue is trying to get the two children to

create their own opinions about what they want to do for fun Instead of giving them a simple 30" clased question, Explanatised answer. It is believed that the more children are prompted \$ to do things such as this, the quicker they implient learn However, when she neviewer no response she then asky the closed question " are you going to play for a bit 'Although this The children obviously didn't have a response for her and so they simply chose not to answer. Sue then made it easier for them by providing them with a question that they only needed to answer with either "yer" or "no" This A write was a good idea Miller because if the children couldn't which of anything to say, they may feel a bit disheartened but we and disappointed with themselves 11; this hind of feeling that makes children reluctant to keep on experimenting with language for the fear of failure. By giving them a that question they can easily answer, sue has excaped that scenario. Another common feature of Caretaker language is re-casting Re-casting is repeating something a child how said incorrectly in the hope that they will realise their mistake and correct themselves. Most Fildren pich upon re-casting in a dever way and are able to then go on to correct themselves. When Franki asks for a drink simply by saying the word "drink," Sue then says "pardon () would you like a drink." The "pardon" is a dear politeness feature and so will give Franki an idea of what she is missing. Then Sue recreates Franki's question but still leaves the word "please" off. Franki must then realise because she eventually says the word "please." Re-cashing is a very good techi technique to use when talking to children who are acquiring language because it prompts them into saying something correctly rather than just telling that them very blatantly that they are

wrong Children regional better when grestioned about their speech rather than having their attempts criticized. Once Franki does say "pleave," Sue then goes on say "pleave that's a good girl well done." This type of ise is essential when talking to hildren. By saying "good girl" and "well done" Sive is making Franki feel special and intelligent Everybody enjoys that hind of feeling and so it is likely that Franki will say please "more in an effort to hop on getting praise. The more tranking says it, the more it will stick in her mind and will everhally become a part of everyday speech that she doesn't even need to think about Children who (Now) reuse praise from their caretakers are more likely to advance in language at a much quicke rake The main job of Sue in these transcripts, as child Iminde to the children, is to heap the peace between them both and she does this in quite a few clever ways. First of Me all she doesn't show any favouritism between the children. Both children will be wanting to impress the only adult 0/ present because children love to feel special Sue manages to heep her attention very balanced for example, when she says to Franky "that's it good girl" she then quickly asks Josh the question = "and what have you got on your sandwiches Josh" in an effort to heap him a valid part of the conversation. If she hadn't have to done this, one hild may become jealow of the other. The hild could have either played up and midbehaved or could have bee become more reclusive because they felt left out, both of which would halt their acquiring of language. Pethaps the est devoest method of hooping the piece that wer come when she is serving the dildren their lunches She has to get Josh's first and Franki could've this as infair and may have made a his about wanking has at the same time However, sue says "Franki I'm going to get Josh his first cas he's got

get ready () and go to nursery in a minute. "By explaining the whole siteration to Franki, she has made her aware as to why Josh is getting his dinner first. This way M3 Franki has nothing to argue about and no hus can be created. The children's language is very much what you would expect to find. Both are able to create full sentences but both also don't quite get the adult form at times. For example, Josh says in Transcript A, "we having a picnic." He has done extremely well is with the majority be of the sentence. He has included the word "we" 104 meaning he understands and can use pronouns refliciently. Pronouns are extremely hard for a child to tearn because people can call themselves "me" or can be called "you" by others and so it can all become very confising for a child, Josh has done very well to get this right. He has got the got lease managed to get the determine "a" NoI in which is also a feature of a developed hild's Jet. language. However, he hain't got the tense of the statement quiter right. The whole attempt reads "we going er having we having a picnic." This makes me believe that Josh is talking about something he will be doing at nursery later on and so he meant to say "we are going to have a picnic." I think Josh got confused because of the present tense "going" and then the no tense "have" This type of Tuture Tense is difficult to learn and Josh did make a good effort but he just has a bit to learn before he gets it right. Also, thee is the yerb "are" in there to learn as well. "Are" is a stative veb and so it is very understandable that Josh missed it out. A dynamic verb & is an action that is you can see happening before your eyes but a stative verb such as "are" describes a state of mind or being that is invisible to us, it is much easier for children to talk about things that

they can achally see and so words such as dynamic verbs and concrete nouns will come before others. A common feature of child, language is over-Extension. Over-extension is a child who were one word to describe a lot of things, they are making the meaning of the word bigger than it is when Jue tells the Lildren to take their shoes off, Franki says "not going on" Perhaps Frankis doesn't know the phrave to use for taking your shoes off and so takes something she knows is related to shoes and uses it to try and express herself as best the can Noam Chamshy said that children are 60m with the ability to just team tanguage and learn rules.

I think that this over-extension from Franki proves Chamshy's theory to a degree Franki will never have heard somebody say "not going on" when they have ence been taking their shoes off and so she has not mindlessly copied off somebody Instead she has thought about smething she could possible & say in order to get her message across and has applied the rules that she knows to the situation. When Josh says "it be cold to play in the rain" it may look incorrect but Josh how done relatively here in an attempt to creak a difficult sentence. Although "be" should be "is", Josh har still managed to get in a stative verb. 表 据 "Be" and "is" are of the same group as well, so he is really not perfecting his stative worbs. Also, I think that the word "too" is missing because I think that Josh meant to say "It is too cold to play in the rain." Although seems eary to adults, placing that "too" within the sentence would prove difficult for children. Young children haveilt been thught about homophores and understand that two words that sound the same ran achally have different meanings

intelligence because they have probably And shows such as "It's too cold toplay say things people but, because they don't understand it themselves, they choose toughte languag by whing a lot getting both control opportunity language. The child It form, for example Franki guidance from will language Le page 12.

04 Texts I and I were both written at very different times within our country. There were different values and different attributeds back in 1934. Text 1 is taken from an old newspaper. I would expect to find a lot of information 103] because revipager/s were created to supply people with a much information a possible. This contrasts directly with what I would expect by find in Text J. Written in 2008 guile and published on a withsite, I would expect to find

much less information because browses want a websile be as clear and as understandable as possible. Reading the 1 texts, the most obvious difference between them their levels of formality in 1934, the was still very much a part of people's lives and the upper class were still looked up to leaple cupined to talk like the upper class and so resupaper's would be written in a formal fushion as it exudes power from the words Words such as "prominent," "interval" and leios - "solendially" create a very formal style and create dutung? between the writer and their audience. Compare that with words and phrases such as "Pompey;" "great shiff" and "all sorb of well-wishers." The English Language has undergone massive informalisation since 1934 and this is evident in the me language used on the website. Formal language is now seen intorial "snolby" and "pompos" because we have a much bigger M3 - sense of equality how and, although the dass system does egretastill exist, it plan nowhere near a big a part in our lives while the newspaper article involved the reader in no - way and looked as though there was a distance being diest - attempted to be made between reader and writer, the e website ortide direct spoke directly to its audience. Caroline asked "No hanging about is there?" A rhetorical question such as this is very common in modern texts as a form of direct address It is used because, over the years, we have found that people will respond better to a text they feel involved in and that they have to

	make their own opinions about rathe than a text that
A13	I simply hold them fact after fact after fact. Even the
reef.	names of the people quoted show me the differences in
	formality between the two times. The 1934 article
Thes	parts the shad speakers with littles such as
	"Lord Mayor of Manchester," "Honorary President of Manchester
l WZ	City F.C." and "Senior Member of the City Directorate."
-	Hithough some of these titles may still be today, they
Morles	lare not used in such an obviour manner, People would thinh
puly	of those people as "snotby" hoday as those with impressive
,	littles are no longer looked up at. Instead, Caroline uses
MS	littles such as "boss" and "heepe." Both of which are
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	very informal and demonstrate the fact that, in modern
	days, we are loss concerned about status and just
	want to know about the football.
	Mary of the phrases found in Text I seem
MZ.	very archaic now. An archaic word is one that seems
1 .	much older than the time it is being spoken it. Phrases
((8))	such as "I did so badly want" and "I think we won well"
	are not something found commonly in modern texts.
	Its hire progresses, larguage uses charge these phrases
•	would seem out-dated if anybody used them now
-	because fathions and othindes towards language
-	are constantly changing. Another very archaic moment
	in this text is the proclamation of Britain as an "Empire!
A3 .	1934 was before Britain but its Empire and so, in
Entwe.	this asticle it seems relevant by but, in modern day
-	England no longer have an Empire and so this would
ـ منعا	never be found it's not only attitudes that alte the
•	English Language but also other things, such as
M3 -	major historical events.
-	Sentence structure is a big part of language
	that has changed chamatically over the years Mr J.W.
	Gibson says "if it had not been for the fact that Iwanted !
	In modern day, we are much more likely to say "had it

not been! Partly because it comes across as less formal but also because we get rid of the word "if." In a world in which we type everything and speak in a hurried manner, hime is of the essence and by missing out even the mallet word soon as "if" saves as bone. No had The Cgraphology of the two texts is extremely different, which would be expected from two texts of such different time zones. In Text I there are large capital letters to begin every new speech, italics are used and the dont is a very typical Times New Roman type choice. All of these features make the while appear more M3 formal People in have resported much better to this in 1934 Mithus they would do now People in 1934 looked up to all things intelligent and so would have trusted that this intelligent looking whiche would give them all of the information that they readed. On the other hand, the website from 2008 is written in all of the same frounded font, with only a few bold words that show important information. The founded font is easier to read and comes across as much more friendly and informal nos This is what people look for These days because see of they want a relaxing read rather than one that really looks as though its going to make them really reed think. The way is which the chosen speaker & talk about the game is also very different between the two fexts. In Text J, speakers are saying things such as "I'm speechless for once. Harry's a legend now isn't he? Hab off to him." Here, the speaker his is describing his reaction to the game rather than the game itself. This is because he doern't need to describe the yame because almost every single person reading that ortide would have watched the game for themselves on a television. However, things were much different in 1934, when Text I was written. When talking about the mouth, one of the article's

Mar.

speakers said. The ball did not run well for w, but our lads were always fighting "Another said" At Wembley they did their lavel bet, but, the conditions were against w." Both as of these smeathers are achally describing the game of story format. This is perhaps, because they tellevision's are not readily available will reader to point form of pickre Lying passes, we talk about detail and this teahne with the introduction of new technology. believe that the main differences between the two texts come from the informalisation of the country's language and the introduction of new technology. With our language much class related and much more about making things eary for auselves, many of the terms found appear very outdated and archaic. Introduction new pieces of technology have given us the opportunity discussing small details and more time time giving opinions. The introduction of new pieces of technology has also given us the chance to change the way we present our information to make I cleaner and easier to under strand Lec fige 12.

Lefeets

-		
P1_	NOI: Begins havelyse. Comptent writing but hake a long have to get to fonds.	
	writing but take a long time to	15
	get to forth.	
_		
	AUZ: Love relemee - begins la veke 1. 1	a
-	po3: Seri contect, such instructed by lang, fratures.	
		5
		(29)
Q4-		
_	No!: Ohveger har og. Druser ar dat w.in some analyhear pour megi-	a ,
-	W. W sive analyhed four megi-	16
_		
_	M2: Rebout concepted applied luplaced.	12
-	M3: clear and sound explants in	7-
J		
		1
		(3)
-		
-		
-		
-		
-		
4		
4		